## Location Water Long-term Range of Yp forecasts as Probability (%) of 2015 yield to be: Simulated of August 12 (bu/ac)<sup>¶</sup> regime average Yp Below Near Above current 25<sup>th</sup> **75**th (bu/ac)§ (relative to the long-term Yp)<sup>†</sup> crop stage\* Alliance, NE Irrigated 173 153 198 27% 35% 38% **R1, Silking** North Platte, NE Irrigated 215 211 251 15% 35% 50% R2. Blister Dryland 135 3% 103 116 21% 76% R3, Milk McCook, NE Irrigated 221 215 251 23% 35% 42% R3. Milk Dryland 102 111 126 <1% 30% 70% R3, Milk Holdrege, NE Irrigated 8% 232 244 269 42% 50% R2, Blister Dryland 119 134 160 <1% 22% 78% R3, Milk **Clay Center, NE** Irrigated 235 12% 240 266 38% 50% R3, Milk Dryland 207 162 149 24% 24% 52% R3, Milk **Beatrice**, NE Irrigated 229 223 259 12% 56% 32% R2, Blister Dryland 148 121 183 32% 28% 40% R3, Milk Mead. NE Irrigated 231 256 35% 221 15% 50% R3, Milk Dryland 172 196 240 <1% 12% 88% R3. Milk Concord, NE Irrigated 229 223 262 19% 46% 35% R2, Blister Dryland 167 188 229 <1% 18% 82% R2, Blister Elgin, NE Irrigated 239 229 277 16% 42% 42% R2, Blister O'Neill, NE Irrigated 223 257 4% 19% 77% 210 R3, Milk

## Table 3. In-season yield potential forecasts as of August 12, 2015 in Nebraska

<sup>§</sup> Average (25+ years) simulated yield potential (Yp) based on dominant soil series, average planting date, plant density and relative maturity of most widespread hybrid at each location(see table on management data used for simulations).

<sup>1</sup>Range of forecasted 2015 yields based on average planting date in 2015, indicating the yields in the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of the yield distribution (associated with respective adverse and favorable weather scenarios during the rest of the season).

<sup>†</sup> Probability of obtaining a 2015 yield below (<-10%), near (±10%), and above (>10%) than the long-term average Yp at each location

\* Based on dominant hybrid maturity and 2015 average planting date for each location and water regime. Related story: Aug. 14, 2015 CropWatch.unl.edu

	,					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,, •	
Location	Water regime	Long-term average Yp (bu/ac) <sup>§</sup>	Range of Yp of August 25 <sup>th</sup>	forecasts as 12 (bu/ac) <sup>¶</sup> 75 <sup>th</sup>	Probability Below (relative	(%) of 2015 y Near to the long-te	vield to be: Above erm Yp) <sup>†</sup>	Simulated current crop stage*
Lamberton, MN	Dryland	181	163	238	28%	11%	61%	R2, Blister
Waseca, MN	Dryland	140	217	244	<1%	<1%	>99%	R3, Milk
Lewis, IA	Dryland	189	235	281	<1%	12%	88%	R3, Milk
Sutherland, IA	Dryland	211	205	233	12%	62%	26%	R2, Blister
Kanawha, IA	Dryland	188	170	235	24%	24%	52%	R3, Milk
Ames, IA	Dryland	232	229	271	4%	57%	39%	R3, Milk
Nashua, IA	Dryland	218	220	248	4%	46%	50%	R3, Milk
Crawfordsville, IA	Dryland	229	219	248	<1%	80%	20%	R4, Dough
Bondville, IL	Dryland	181	185	235	22%	13%	65%	R4, Dough
Freeport, IL	Dryland	194	170	216	40%	32%	28%	R3, Milk
Olney, IL	Dryland	183	186	199	<1%	84%	16%	R5, Dent
Peoria, IL	Dryland	159	210	238	<1%	<1%	>99%	R4, Dough
Springfield, IL	Dryland	154	188	209	<1%	<1%	>99%	R4, Dough
Butlerville, IN	Dryland	218	219	233	<1%	92%	8%	R3, Milk
Columbia City, IN	Dryland	221	239	253	<1%	33%	67%	R3, Milk
Davis, IN	Dryland	227	237	256	<1%	67%	33%	R3, Milk
West Lafayette, IN	Dryland	237	247	279	<1%	58%	42%	R3, Milk
Custar, OH	Dryland	164	223	251	<1%	3%	97%	R3, Milk
S. Charleston, OH	Dryland	188	231	258	<1%	10%	90%	R3, Milk
Wooster, OH	Dryland	199	226	252	<1%	13%	87%	R3, Milk

## Table 4. In-season vield potential forecasts as of August 12, 2015 in MN, IA, IL, IN and OH

<sup>§</sup> Average (25+ years) simulated yield potential (Yp) based on dominant soil series, average planting date, plant density and relative maturity of most widespread hybrid at each location(see table on management data used for simulations).
<sup>¶</sup> Range of forecasted 2015 yields based on average planting date in 2015, indicating the yields in the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of the yield distribution (associated with respective adverse and favorable weather scenarios during the rest of the season).
<sup>†</sup> Probability of obtaining a 2015 yield below (<-10%), near (±10%), and above (>10%) than the long-term average Yp at each location \* Based on dominant hybrid maturity and 2015 average planting date for each location and water regime. Related story: Aug. 14, 2015 CropWatch.unl.edu

					<b>,</b>		<b>j</b> = <b>j</b> = <b>j</b> ==			
Location	Water regime	Long-term average Yp (bu/ac) <sup>§</sup>	Range of Yp forecasts as of August 12 <sup>th</sup> (bu/ac) <sup>¶</sup> 25 <sup>th</sup> 75 <sup>th</sup>		Probabili Below (relativ	Simulated current crop stage*				
Manhattan KS	Dryland	146	153	162	<1%	73%	27%	R5, Dent		
Scandia, KS	Irrigated	218	223	242	4%	58%	38%	R4, Dough		
	Dryland	146	164	178	<1%	24%	76%	R4, Dough		
Silverlake, KS	Irrigated	204	184	199	27%	73%	<1%	R5, Dent		
	Dryland	151	146	154	<1%	>99%	<1%	R5, Dent		
Hutchinson, KS	Dryland	111	Matured on August 12th. Final yield: 108							
Garden City, KS	Irrigated	191	191	208	<1%	73%	27%	R4, Dough		
St Joseph, MO	Dryland	165	201	214	<1%	13%	87%	R4, Dough		
Brunswick, MO	Dryland	172	170	178	<1%	>99%	<1%	R5, Dent		
Monroe City, MO	Dryland	181	191	201	<1%	71%	29%	R4, Dough		
Clarkton, MO	Irrigated	210	199	206	<1%	>99%	<1%	R5, Dent		
	Dryland	146	156	162	<1%	71%	29%	R5, Dent		
Beresford, SD	Irrigated	213	215	251	<1%	42%	58%	R3, Milk		
	Dryland	122	119	179	15%	30%	55%	R3, Milk		
Brookings, SD	Dryland	116	97	167	34%	8%	58%	R2, Blister		
Pierre, SD	Dryland	81	99	115	<1%	<1%	>99%	R4, Dough		
Redfield, SD	Dryland	118	123	184	4%	27%	69%	R3, Milk		
Arlington, WI	Dryland	142	118	143	56%	24%	20%	R1, Silking		
Hancock, WI	Irrigated	170	151	178	28%	48%	24%	R1, Silking		
	Dryland	161	161	194	16%	52%	32%	R2, Blister		

## Table 5. In-season vield potential forecasts as of August 12, 2015 in KS, MO, SD, and WI

<sup>§</sup> Average (25+ years) simulated yield potential (Yp) based on dominant soil series, average planting date, plant density and relative maturity of most widespread hybrid at each location(see table on management data used for simulations). <sup>¶</sup> Range of forecasted 2015 yields based on average planting date in 2015, indicating the yields in the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of the yield distribution (associated with respective adverse and favorable weather scenarios during the rest of the season).

<sup>+</sup> Probability of obtaining a 2015 yield below (<-10%), near (±10%), and above (>10%) than the long-term average Yp at each location \* Based on dominant hybrid maturity and 2015 average planting date for each location and water regime. *Related story: Aug. 14, 2015 CropWatch.unl.edu*